

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/531,233	WOLKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason L. Savage	1775	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Amended

 (1) Jason L. Savage.

(3) _____.

 (2) Craig Fieschko.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2006
Time: 1:00
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

25, 49

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Called Applicant to recommend some changes to claim 25 and 49 to make them consistent with the amendments made in the response from 4/13/06. Applicant gave the Examiner permission to make the changes by Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)